|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Recipient** | **AGENCY NAME** | **Project Component Type** | **PSH** |
| **Subrecipient** | **N/A** | **Data Reporting Period** | **July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022** |
| **Project Name** | **PROJECT NAME** | **Scorecard Status** | **Under Review** |

***General Information:***

* Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
* Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
* Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
* Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked “NA” in the score column
* For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition>

***Instructions:***

* Review each of the sections below for accuracy
* Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
* Return your finalized scorecard to [boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov](file:///G:\Shared%20drives\Balance%20of%20State%20CoC\Funding\CoC%20NOFA\FY2022%20NOFA\Scorecard\Scorecards_Under%20Review\boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov) no later than **Wednesday, August 31, 2022**
* Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
* **NEW in FY2022**: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, **due Wednesday, August 31, 2022**; posted at <https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition>/

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Total Project Score** | | | | | |
| **Total Project Score =  Project Performance Percentage Achieved  + HMIS Data Quality Percentage Achieved  + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved** | **Scoring Category** | **Total Points Achieved** | **Total Points Available** | **Category Weight** | **Percentage Achieved** |
| **Project Performance** | 50 | 60 | 70% | 58% |
| **HMIS Data Quality** | 40 | 40 | 20% | 20% |
| **Grant Management & Financials** | 10 | 20 | 10% | 5% |
|
| **Total Score** | **83%** | | | |
|

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Performance Criteria** | **Purpose & Source of Measurement** | **Formula Information** | | **Result** | **Points 10** | **Points 5** | **Points0** | **Score** |
| **Project Performance 70% Achieving project outcomes provides a benchmark for how well projects help to end homelessness. Assessing & monitoring project outcomes is necessary to understand a project's rate of success and contribution to CoC-wide performance goals.** | | | | | | | | |
| **Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate** | Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application | January Total | 2 | 100.00% | >=90% | 70% - 89% | <= 69% | **10** |
| April Total | 2 |
| July Total | 2 |
| October Total | 2 |
| Adjusted Total | 2 |
| Proposed number of households served | 2 |
| **Percentage of chronically homeless households served** | Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a | Total Chronically Homeless Households served | 2 | 100.00% | PSH: >=46% | PSH: 40% - 45% | PSH: <= 39% | **10** |
| Total Households served | 2 |
| **Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing** | Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success.  APR Q23c | Total Number of Persons Served | 2 | 100.00% | PSH:  >= 99% RRH:  >= 91% TH:  >= 81% | PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH:  75% - 80% | PSH:  <= 92% RRH:  <= 84% TH:  <= 74% | **10** |
| Total Exiting to Positive Destinations | 0 |
| Total Exits | 0 |
| Total Deceased | 0 |
| Unsuccessful Exits | 0 |
| **Average number of days to move in at project entry** | The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure how long it takes for a client to physically move into housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is homeless or housed. APR Q22c | | | NA | PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less | PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days | PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More | **NA** |
| **Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income** | Improving household access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured in the NOFA & System Performance Measures APR Q19a1 | | | 0.00% | PSH: >=16%  RRH & TH:  >= 5% | PSH:  10-15% RRH & TH:  2-4% | PSH: <=9%  RRH & TH: <=1% | **0** |
| **Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased employment income** | Improving household access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured in the NOFA & System Performance Measures APR Q19a2 | | | NA | PSH: >=11%  RRH:  >= 31%  TH: >=16% | PSH:  5-10% RRH: 25-30% TH:  10-15% | PSH: <=4%  RRH: <=24% TH: <=9% | **NA** |
| **Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non-employment cash income** | Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures.  APR Q19a1 | | | 100.00% | PSH: >=61%  RRH: >= 5% TH: >=53% | PSH:  55-60% RRH:  2-4% TH:  47-52% | PSH: <=54%  RRH:  <= 1% TH: <=46% | **10** |
| **Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non-employment cash income** | Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures.  APR Q19a2 | | | NA | PSH: >=58%  RRH:  >= 51% TH: >=56% | PSH:  52-57% RRH: 45-50% TH:  50-55% | PSH: <=51%  RRH:  <= 44% TH: <=49% | **NA** |
| **Returns to Homelessness** | Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a. | | | 0% | <= 5% | 6% - 15% | >= 16% | **10** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **HMIS Data Quality 20% HMIS participation and data quality are priorities for MD-514 & HUD. Accurate, complete & timely data is crucial to determine how projects are contributing to ending homelessness. High quality data is key to understanding what projects are doing and how the CoC & HUD can make informed decisions about the project.** | | | | | | |
| **Personal Identifying Information Error Rate** | To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete.  APR Q 6a, b, c, d | 0% | 0% - 1% | 2% - 5% | >= 6% | **10** |
| **Universal Data Elements Error Rate** | 0% | 0% - 1% | 2% - 5% | >= 6% | **10** |
| **Income and Housing Data Quality** | 0% | 0% - 1% | 2% - 5% | >= 6% | **10** |
| **Chronic Homelessness Data Elements** | 0% | 0% - 1% | 2% - 5% | >= 6% | **10** |
| **Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database** | Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements. | NA | Yes / Plan on Track | N/A | No | **NA** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Grant Management & Financials 10% Projects must demonstrate understanding of compliance with federal & local regulations of project operations.** | | | | | | | | |
| **Cost Efficiency** | It is important to HUD that programs demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data | FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match | $17,960 | $8,980 | PSH <$8,999 RRH <$2,999 TH <$9,999 | PSH $9,000 - 11,000 RRH $3,000 - 5,000 TH $10,000 - 12,000 | PSH >$11,001 RRH >$5,001  TH >$12,001 | **10** |
| Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period | 2 |
| **Percentage of total grant funds that were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout** | It is important to HUD that programs show agency capacity to spend funds allocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data | Total Grant Award | $14,512 | 23.66% | 0-2% | 3-5% | >= 6% | **0** |
| Total Spent | $11,078 |
| Unspent Amount | $3,434 |
| **Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)** | Last Year Recapture Rate *– For Reference Only* | | 13.66% | N/A | N/A | N/A | **Not Scored** |

|  |
| --- |
| Agency Response Section In the boxes below, please respond to the following questions (if applicable). These responses are not scored but will be reviewed by the Performance Review Committee. |
| 1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time. |
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
| 2) If your grant recaptured more than 10%, please explain how you intend to spend project funds in the coming year OR if you plan to voluntarily reallocate the excess budget. |
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|