Recipient	Allegany County Human Resources Development Commission, Inc.	Project Component Type	PSH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	HRDC Leasing Supportive Housing Project	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score				
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	Total Points Available			
Total Project Score = Project Performance	Project Performance	50	60	70%	58%	
Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality	HMIS Data Quality	40	40	20%	20%	
Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Grant Management & Financials	10	20	10% 5%		
	Total Score		83	%		

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa	tion	Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score	
Project Performance 70% Achieving project outcomes provides a benchmark for how well projects help to end homelessness. Assessing & monitoring project outcomes is necessary to understand a project's rate of success and contribution to CoC-wide performance goals.									
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	100.00%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	10	
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	2	100.00%	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	10	
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	2 0 0 0 0	100.00%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	10	
Average number of days to move in at project entry	The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure how long it takes for a client to physically move into housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is homeless or housed. APR Q22c			NA	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	is crucial to reducin homelessness. Incr	oving household access to financial resources ucial to reducing vulnerability to elessness. Increase in income is measured in NOFA & System Performance Measures Q19a1			PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	0	
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		NA	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	NA	

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult	Improving a household's access to financial		PSH:	PSH:	PSH:	
stayers at annual	resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to		>=61%	55-60%	<=54%	
assessment who	homelessness. Increase in income is measured		>=01% RRH: >=	RRH:	<=34% RRH:	10
gained, maintained	through the NOFA & System Performance	100.00%	5%		ккп: <= 1%	
or increased non-	Measures.			2-4%		
employment cash	APR Q19a1		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=53%	47-52%	<=46%	
Percentage of adult	Improving a household's access to financial		PSH:	PSH:	PSH:	
leavers at exit who	resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to		>=58%	52-57%	<=51%	
gained, maintained	homelessness. Increase in income is measured	NIA	RRH:	RRH:	RRH:	NIA
or increased non-	through the NOFA & System Performance	NA	>= 51%	45-50%	<= 44%	NA
employment cash	Measures.		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income	APR Q19a2		>=56%	50-55%	<=49%	
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality	ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project of	operations	
Cost Efficiency	HUD that programs demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$17,960			PSH		
		Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	2	\$8,980	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	10
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$14,512					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds allocated in a	Total Spent	\$11,078	23.66%	0-2% 3-5%	>= 6%	0	
allocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund	Unspent Amount	\$3,434						
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	13.66%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

Agency Response Section

In the boxes below, please respond to the following questions (if applicable). These responses are not scored but will be reviewed by the Performance Review Committee.

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income: Both of the Permanent Supportive Housing participants are unable to work.

Client 1's income is Social Security Disability which is the maximum amount they are eligible for.

Client 2 is reporting through case management and through her therapist that she is unable to work full-time or part-time, however, her SSDI case has been denied twice.

Percentage of total grant funds that were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout:

The total project award is only sufficient to assist the current program enrollees (two (2) households) during the program year. The remaining amount could serve a third participant only if their 'need' was approximately \$265 per month. While all clients seeking housing were screened for the program, we were unable to enroll another household that met the program requirements and only needed a small amount of assistance. We did not feel enrolling a household in which we could only provide a partial year's assistance was beneficial to the household's housing stability. We will continue to actively screen clients throughout the year for eligibility in the program. However, in light of the recent rent hikes in the area, the current participants' rent may be increased, which could increase their rental assistance and our expenditures.

2) If your grant recaptured more than 10%, please explain how you intend to spend project funds in the coming year OR if you plan to voluntarily reallocate the excess budget.

Recipient	Allegany County Human Resources Development Commission, Inc.	Project Component Type	тн
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	Transitional Housing Services	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of
 performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score			
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	Total PointsCategoryAvailableWeight		Percentage Achieved
Total Project Score = Project Performance	Project Performance	20	50	70%	28%
Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	HMIS Data Quality	40	40	20%	20%
	Grant Management & Financials	10	20	10% 5%	
	Total Score		53	%	

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa	tion	Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score	
Project Performance 70% Achieving project outcomes provides a benchmark for how well projects help to end homelessness. Assessing & monitoring project outcomes is necessary to understand a project's rate of success and contribution to CoC-wide performance goals.									
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	1 0 1 0.75 6	12.50%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	0	
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	NA	NA	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	ΝΑ	
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	2 2 2 0 0	100.00%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	10	
Average number of days to move in at project entry	how long it takes fo into housing from th and gives a clear pic	The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure how long it takes for a client to physically move into housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is homeless or housed. APR Q22c			PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	is crucial to reducin homelessness. Incr	ving household access to financial resources ial to reducing vulnerability to essness. Increase in income is measured in DFA & System Performance Measures 19a1			PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	NA	
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		0.00%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	0	

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a1	NA	PSH: >=61% RRH: >= 5% TH: >=53%	PSH: 55-60% RRH: 2-4% TH: 47-52%	PSH: <=54% RRH: <= 1% TH: <=46%	NA
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a2	0.00%	PSH: >=58% RRH: >= 51% TH: >=56%	PSH: 52-57% RRH: 45-50% TH: 50-55%	PSH: <=51% RRH: <= 44% TH: <=49%	0
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality	ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project (operations	
Cost Efficiency	It is important to HUD that programs	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$84,206			PSH		
demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	2	\$42,103	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	0	
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$67,365					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds allocated in a	Total Spent	\$67,365	0.00%	0-2%	3-5%	3-5% >= 6%	
allocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund	Unspent Amount	\$-						
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	Rate – For	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

Agency Response Section In the boxes below, please respond to the following questions (if applicable). These responses are not scored but will be reviewed by the Performance Review Committee.

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate:

During the program year our facility experienced several health and safety issues that resulted in no enrollments while repairs were made to one or both sides of the facility. Issues included unstable electrical wiring, fire damage which also resulted in a forced entry by the emergency responders to ensure the current resident was safe and non-working alarm system. Repairs spanned from October to present. Also, a large majority of those seeking shelter wanted us to pay for hotel/motel stays versus providing transitional housing services. In addition, our strong partnership with the local Union Rescue Mission (URM) and area landlords resulted in quick housing placements (sometimes within a week or 10 days), so the individual or household was not moved to our facility for such a limited stay.

To increase our utilization rate and to help support the demands of an increased homeless population, we are in the process of revising our housing strategy with the URM so more of their residents are more receptive to transitional housing. We are also anticipating more participants as a result of being awarded funding for a Youth Program.

Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased employment income:

Unfortunately, a large majority of our clients are disabled and unable to work, so cannot increase their employment income.

However, for employable clients, we do provide information about current job openings posted by Allegany County's One Stop Job Center and Horizon-Goodwill, local job fairs, and refer them for job training through Horizon-Goodwill or TCA Work Experience.

While not specific to HRDC TH participants, they will be made aware of the following events and provided transportation, if necessary. Beginning in August 2022 and occurring at least twice a year, Cut/Cloth/Work events are planned that will provide county residents opportunities to obtain employment. HRDC, in partnership with Horizon-Goodwill Industries and the Department of Social Services, will provide this outlet that will include on-site interviews by a multitude of local employers with various types of employment that require different skill sets, as well as, opportunities for job training. Staff will keep track of potential job availability with employers attending these events throughout the year for additional employment opportunities for TH participants.

Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non-employment cash income:

We do provide information to all TH participants about, and offer assistance in applying for, mainstream benefits available through Social Services, such as Temporary Cash Assistance (TCA), Temporary Disability Assistance Program (TDAP), food stamps, medical insurance and energy assistance, when they are not currently receiving them. Staff have received SOAR training, so can assist in the SSI/SSDI application process, as well. To reinforce this information with TH participants, they will be made aware of the employment events listed above, in which, in addition to employers, area service providers will be onsite to explain /enroll attendees in what resources they have available. Transportation will be provided, if necessary.

Cost Efficiency:

While our utilization rate was low, maintaining the facility was ongoing and staff continued to do intakes for those seeking shelter throughout the program year. Support services were offered to those who declined transitional

housing or that could not be accommodated due to the repairs in progress which consisted of, but were not limited to, needs assessment, diversion options, assistance finding them emergency or permanent housing and referrals to other resource providers.

2) If your grant recaptured more than 10%, please explain how you intend to spend project funds in the coming year OR if you plan to voluntarily reallocate the excess budget.

N/A

Recipient	Associated Catholic Charities, Inc.	Project Component Type	PSH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	2021 AH PSH IV Chronic Homeless	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score				
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	Total Points Available	Percentage Achieved		
Total Project Score = Project Performance	Project Performance	nance 70 80		70%	61%	
Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality	HMIS Data Quality	40	40 20%		20%	
Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Grant Management & Financials	10	20	10% 5%		
	Total Score		86	%		

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa		Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score
	•	Project Perfor s a benchmark for ho ssary to understand a performan	w well _l a projec	projects hel ct's rate of s	-			-
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	10 9 10 9.75 10	97.50%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	10
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	6	60.00%	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	10
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	10 0 1 1 0	100.00%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	10
Average number of days to move in at project entry	The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure how long it takes for a client to physically move into housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is homeless or housed. APR Q22c			NA	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	is crucial to reducin homelessness. Incr	ousehold access to financial resources reducing vulnerability to ss. Increase in income is measured in System Performance Measures			PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	10
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		0.00%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	0

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a1	66.67%	PSH: >=61% RRH: >= 5% TH: >=53%	PSH: 55-60% RRH: 2-4% TH: 47-52%	PSH: <=54% RRH: <= 1% TH: <=46%	10
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a2	100.00%	PSH: >=58% RRH: >= 51% TH: >=56%	PSH: 52-57% RRH: 45-50% TH: 50-55%	PSH: <=51% RRH: <= 44% TH: <=49%	10
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality	ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project (operations	
HUD th program demons efficien annual retain o someor perman housing Sage AF	It is important to HUD that programs	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$150,176			PSH		
	demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	9	\$16,686	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	0
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$129,565					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds allocated in a	Total Spent	\$129,565	0.00%	0-2%	3-5%	>= 6%	10
timely manr and in full a allows for	timely manner and in full and	Unspent Amount	\$-					
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

Agency Response Section In the boxes below, please respond to the following questions (if applicable). These responses are not scored but will be reviewed by the Performance Review Committee.

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained, or increased employment income: The program had one (1) adult leaver during the reporting period. The individual passed away during the reporting period. The program is serving a very vulnerable population who have significantly high barriers to employment. The program will continue to provide an array of support such as life skills classes, case management support, connections to behavioral health services, financial management support, and work force development opportunities and linkages.

Cost Efficiency: The project exists in a high rent market which results in providing subsidies that with the inclusion of utilities, puts us at the highest point of the FMR. To improve our cost efficiency the program will continue to develop and strengthen relationships with property owners who align with the program's mission and meet HUD requirements. The hope is that property owners will reduce a portion of the rent. We have been able to do this in the past, however, COVID has increased the pressures on property owners to move away from this practice. We are hopeful rent reduction will return as some of the impacts of COVID subside.

2) If your grant recaptured more than 10%, please explain how you intend to spend project funds in the coming year OR if you plan to voluntarily reallocate the excess budget.

N/A.

Recipient	Associated Catholic Charities, Inc.	Project Component Type	PSH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	2021 AH PH Consolidated	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score				
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved			Percentage Achieved	
Total Project Score = Project Performance	Project Performance	40	80	70%	35%	
Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality	HMIS Data Quality	35	40 20%		18%	
Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Grant Management & Financials	20	20	10% 10%		
	Total Score		63	%		

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa	tion	Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score	
Project Performance 70% Achieving project outcomes provides a benchmark for how well projects help to end homelessness. Assessing & monitoring project outcomes is necessary to understand a project's rate of success and contribution to CoC-wide performance goals.									
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	6 6 6 6 6 8	75.00%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	5	
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	1	12.50%	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	0	
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	23 2 2 0 0	100.00%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	10	
Average number of days to move in at project entry	The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure how long it takes for a client to physically move into housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is homeless or housed. APR Q22c			NA	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	is crucial to reducin homelessness. Incr	ring household access to financial resources al to reducing vulnerability to essness. Increase in income is measured in IFA & System Performance Measures L9a1			PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	5	
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		0.00%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	0	

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to		PSH:	PSH:	PSH:	
assessment who gained, maintained	homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance	75.00%	>=61% RRH: >=	55-60% RRH:	<=54% RRH:	10
or increased non- employment cash	Measures. APR Q19a1	75.00%	5% TH: >=53%	2-4% TH: 47-52%	<= 1% TH: <=46%	
income Percentage of adult	Improving a household's access to financial		PSH:	PSH:	PSH:	
leavers at exit who gained, maintained	resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured		>=58% RRH:	52-57% RRH:	<=51% RRH:	
or increased non- employment cash	through the NOFA & System Performance Measures.	0.00%	>= 51% TH:	45-50% TH:	<= 44% TH:	0
income	APR Q19a2		>=56%	50-55%	<=49%	
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate		4%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	5
Universal Data Elements Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality	ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project of	operations.	
Cost EfficiencyIt is important to HUD that programs demonstrate cost 	HUD that	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$172,810			PSH		
	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	23	\$7,513	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	10	
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$156,274					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds allocated in a	Total Spent	\$156,274	0.00% 0-2%	3-5%	>= 6%	10	
allocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund	Unspent Amount	\$-						
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

Agency Response Section In the boxes below, please respond to the following questions (if applicable). These responses are not scored but will be reviewed by the Performance Review Committee.
 For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time. Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate: The quarterly occupancy utilization rate for each of the months is 8, which should be reflected in the APR. The program has been working with the CoC to correct the reporting issue.
Percentage of chronically homeless households served: The program works directly with the CoC to prioritize individuals who are experiencing homelessness and is required to place participants as identified through the coordinated access process. The intended population for this project is families with disabilities. To improve, we will continue to work with the CoC and the coordinated access process to prioritize the most vulnerable individuals including those who present as chronically homeless.
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income: The program had two (2) adult leavers during the reporting period. Both entered the program as minors and exited as they became adults.
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non-employment cash income: The program had two (2) adult leavers during the reporting period. Both entered the program as minors and exited as they became adults.
Personal Identifying Information Error: The error was a result of a social security number for a baby. The program is working with the parent to obtain the social security card.
 If your grant recaptured more than 10%, please explain how you intend to spend project funds in the coming year OR if you plan to voluntarily reallocate the excess budget. N/A.

Recipient	Catholic Charities of The Archdiocese of Washington, Inc	Project Component Type	PSH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	Fortitude	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score				
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	Total Points Available	Category Weight	Percentage Achieved	
Total Project Score = Project Performance	Project Performance	50	60	70%	58%	
Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality	HMIS Data Quality	40	40	20%	20%	
Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Grant Management & Financials					
	Total Score		83	%		

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa	tion	Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score
		Project Perfor s a benchmark for ho ssary to understand a performan	w well _l a projec	projects hel t's rate of s	-			-
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b &	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of	10 10 10 10 10 10 10	100.00%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	10
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Application Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	households served Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	1	10.00%	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	0
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	10 0 0 0 0	100.00%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	10
Average number of days to move in at project entry	how long it takes fo into housing from th and gives a clear pic	The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure now long it takes for a client to physically move nto housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is nomeless or housed. APR Q22c			PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	is crucial to reducin homelessness. Incr	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu Performance Measures	ured in	40.00%	PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	10
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		NA	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	NA

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult	Improving a household's access to financial		PSH:	PSH:	PSH:	
stayers at annual	resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to		>=61%	55-60%	РЗП. <=54%	
assessment who	homelessness. Increase in income is measured					
gained, maintained	through the NOFA & System Performance	70.00%	RRH: >=	RRH:	RRH:	10
or increased non-	Measures.		5%	2-4%	<= 1%	
employment cash	APR Q19a1		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=53%	47-52%	<=46%	
Percentage of adult	Improving a household's access to financial		PSH:	PSH:	PSH:	
leavers at exit who	resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to		>=58%	52-57%	<=51%	
gained, maintained	homelessness. Increase in income is measured	NLA	RRH:	RRH:	RRH:	N1.A
or increased non-	through the NOFA & System Performance	NA	>= 51%	45-50%	<= 44%	NA
employment cash	Measures.		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income	APR Q19a2		>=56%	50-55%	<=49%	
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality	ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project (operations	
Cost Efficiency	It is important to HUD that programs	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$171,252			PSH		
	demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	10	\$17,125	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	0
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$162,415					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds	Total Spent	\$162,157	0.16%	0-2%	3-5%	>= 6%	10
	allocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for	Unspent Amount	\$258					
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	potential fund reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

Agency Response Section In the boxes below, please respond to the following questions (if applicable). These responses are not scored but will be reviewed by the Performance Review Committee.

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

2) If your grant recaptured more than 10%, please explain how you intend to spend project funds in the coming year OR if you plan to voluntarily reallocate the excess budget.

Recipient	Cecil County, Maryland	Project Component Type	RRH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	Rapid Re-housing 19	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score			
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	Total Points Available	Category Weight	Percentage Achieved
Total Project Score = Project Performance	Project Performance	50	58%		
Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality	HMIS Data Quality	40	50	20%	16%
Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Grant Management & Financials	15	20	10%	8%
	Total Score		82	%	

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa	tion	Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score
- · ·	•	Project Perfor s a benchmark for ho ssary to understand a performan	w well _l a projec	projects hel t's rate of s	-			-
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	1 0 3 0 1 5	20.00%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	0
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	NA	NA	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	NA
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	29 28 29 0 1	96.55%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	10
Average number of days to move in at project entry	how long it takes fo into housing from t	n Date is collected to m r a client to physically n he time of project enrol cture of whether the clie I. APR Q22c	nove Iment	1	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	10
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	is crucial to reducin homelessness. Incr	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu Performance Measures	ured in	NA	PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	NA
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		75.00%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	10

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a1	NA	PSH: >=61% RRH: >= 5% TH: >=53%	PSH: 55-60% RRH: 2-4% TH: 47-52%	PSH: <=54% RRH: <= 1% TH: <=46%	NA
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a2	75.00%	PSH: >=58% RRH: >= 51% TH: >=56%	PSH: 52-57% RRH: 45-50% TH: 50-55%	PSH: <=51% RRH: <= 44% TH: <=49%	10
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate		41%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	0
Universal Data Elements Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality	ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements	ΑΡΚ Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	10	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	10

Grant Management & Financials 10% Projects must demonstrate understanding of compliance with federal & local regulations of project operations.								
Cost Efficiency	It is important to HUD that programs demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$65,891	\$2,353	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	PSH \$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	10
		Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	28					
Percentage of total grant funds that	HUD that I in programs show	Total Grant Award	\$50,121	5.05%	0-2%	3-5%	>= 6%	5
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout		Total Spent	\$47,590					
		Unspent Amount	\$2,531					
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)		Last Year Recapture Rate – For Reference Only		17.95%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

Agency Response Section In the boxes below, please respond to the following questions (if applicable). These responses are not scored but will be reviewed by the Performance Review Committee.

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

Recipient	Garrett County Community Action Committee, Inc.	Project Component Type	PSH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	SHP DIS 2019	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score				
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved			Percentage Achieved	
Total Project Score = Project Performance	Project Performance	35	80	70%	31%	
Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality	HMIS Data Quality	40	40 20%		20%	
Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Grant Management & Financials	20	20	10% 10%		
	Total Score		61	%		

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa	tion	Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score	
Project Performance 70% Achieving project outcomes provides a benchmark for how well projects help to end homelessness. Assessing & monitoring project outcomes is necessary to understand a project's rate of success and contribution to CoC-wide performance goals.									
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b &	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of	8 8 10 8 8.5 18	47.22%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	0	
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Application Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	households served Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	2	9.52%	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	0	
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	29 6 7 0 1	96.55%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	5	
Average number of days to move in at project entry	The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure how long it takes for a client to physically move into housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is homeless or housed. APR Q22c			NA	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	Improving household access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured in the NOFA & System Performance Measures APR Q19a1			46.15%	PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	10	
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		0.00%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	0	

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a1	46.15%	PSH: >=61% RRH: >= 5% TH: >=53%	PSH: 55-60% RRH: 2-4% TH: 47-52%	PSH: <=54% RRH: <= 1% TH: <=46%	0
income	lucence in a large half a second to financial		DCLL	DCUL	DCUL	
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a2	83.33%	PSH: >=58% RRH: >= 51% TH: >=56%	PSH: 52-57% RRH: 45-50% TH: 50-55%	PSH: <=51% RRH: <= 44% TH: <=49%	10
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality	ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project of	operations	
Cost Efficiency	It is important to HUD that programs	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$216,998			PSH		
efficie annua retain someo perma housir Sage A	demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	28	\$7,750	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	10
Percentage of total grant funds that were recaptured in	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$170,238					
the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds allocated in a	Total Spent	\$170,238	0.00%	0-2%	3-5%	>= 6%	10
allocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund	Unspent Amount	\$-						
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

Agency Response Section In the boxes below, please respond to the following questions (if applicable). These responses are not scored but will be reviewed by the Performance Review Committee.

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

Recipient	Garrett County Community Action Committee, Inc.	Project Component Type	RRH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	RRH for Individuals and Families 2019	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score			
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved			Percentage Achieved
Total Project Score = Project Performance	Project Performance	30	60	70%	35%
Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality	HMIS Data Quality	35	40 20%		18%
Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Grant Management & Financials	20	20	10% 10%	
	Total Score		63	%	

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa		Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score
	•	Project Perfor s a benchmark for ho ssary to understand a performan	w well _l a projec	projects hel t's rate of s	•			-
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	7 8 10 8 8.25 10	82.50%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	5
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	NA	NA	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	NA
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	122 66 109 1 42	61.11%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	0
Average number of days to move in at project entry	how long it takes fo into housing from t	in Date is collected to m r a client to physically n he time of project enrol cture of whether the clie d. APR Q22c	nove Iment	35	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	5
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	is crucial to reducin homelessness. Incr	nproving household access to financial resources crucial to reducing vulnerability to omelessness. Increase in income is measured in e NOFA & System Performance Measures PR Q19a1			PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	NA
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		25.71%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	10

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a1	NA	PSH: >=61% RRH: >= 5% TH: >=53%	PSH: 55-60% RRH: 2-4% TH: 47-52%	PSH: <=54% RRH: <= 1% TH: <=46%	NA
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a2	41.43%	PSH: >=58% RRH: >= 51% TH: >=56%	PSH: 52-57% RRH: 45-50% TH: 50-55%	PSH: <=51% RRH: <= 44% TH: <=49%	0
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	5%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate		2%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	5
Universal Data Elements Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality	ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		1%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project of	operations.	
HUD th program demons efficien annual retain o someor perman housing Sage AF	It is important to HUD that programs	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$65,591			PSH		
	demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	66	\$994	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	10
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$52,473					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds	Total Spent	\$52,473	0.00%	0-2%	3-5%	>= 6%	10
allocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund	Unspent Amount	\$-						
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

Agency Response Section In the boxes below, please respond to the following questions (if applicable). These responses are not scored but will be reviewed by the Performance Review Committee.

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

Recipient	Harford Family House, Inc.	Project Component Type	PSH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	HFH PSH I	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score					
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	Total Points Available	Percentage Achieved			
Total Project Score = Project Performance	Project Performance	60	60	70%	70%		
Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality	HMIS Data Quality	40	40 20%		20%		
Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Grant Management & Financials	20	20	10% 10%			
	Total Score	100%					

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa	tion	Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score	
Project Performance 70% Achieving project outcomes provides a benchmark for how well projects help to end homelessness. Assessing & monitoring project outcomes is necessary to understand a project's rate of success and contribution to CoC-wide performance goals.									
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	6 6 6 6 6	100.00%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	10	
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	3	50.00%	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	10	
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	21 0 0 0 0	100.00%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	10	
Average number of days to move in at project entry	The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure how long it takes for a client to physically move into housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is homeless or housed. APR Q22c			NA	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	is crucial to reducin homelessness. Incr	Improving household access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured in the NOFA & System Performance Measures APR Q19a1			PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	10	
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		NA	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	NA	

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult	Improving a household's access to financial		PSH:	PSH:	PSH:	
stayers at annual	resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to		>=61%	55-60%	<=54%	
assessment who	homelessness. Increase in income is measured		P=01/8 RRH: >=	RRH:	<=34% RRH:	
gained, maintained	through the NOFA & System Performance	66.67%	5%		ккп. <= 1%	10
or increased non-	Measures.			2-4%		
employment cash	APR Q19a1		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=53%	47-52%	<=46%	
Percentage of adult	Improving a household's access to financial		PSH:	PSH:	PSH:	
leavers at exit who	resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to		>=58%	52-57%	<=51%	
gained, maintained	homelessness. Increase in income is measured	NIA	RRH:	RRH:	RRH:	NIA
or increased non-	through the NOFA & System Performance	NA	>= 51%	45-50%	<= 44%	NA
employment cash	Measures.		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income	APR Q19a2		>=56%	50-55%	<=49%	
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality	ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project of	operations	
Cost Efficiency It is important to HUD that programs demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data	HUD that	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$103,885			PSH		
	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	21	\$4,947	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	10	
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$93,075					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds	Total Spent	\$93,075	0.00% 0-2% 3-5% >		>= 6%	10	
allocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund	Unspent Amount	\$-						
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

Agency Response Section In the boxes below, please respond to the following questions (if applicable). These responses are not scored but will be reviewed by the Performance Review Committee.

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

Recipient	Housing Authority of St. Mary's County, MD	Project Component Type	PSH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	Marek PWD#9 Consolidated Grant 2019 Renewal	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score				
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	s Total Points Category Available Weight		Percentage Achieved	
Total Project Score = Project Performance	Project Performance	70	80	70%	61%	
Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality	HMIS Data Quality	40	40 20%		20%	
Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Grant Management & Financials	10	20	10% 5%		
	Total Score		86	%		

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa	tion	Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score		
	Project Performance 70% Achieving project outcomes provides a benchmark for how well projects help to end homelessness. Assessing & monitoring project outcomes is necessary to understand a project's rate of success and contribution to CoC-wide performance goals.									
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	11 10 12 11 11 11	100.00%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	10		
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	9 14	64.29%	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	10		
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	16 0 2 2 0	100.00%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	10		
Average number of days to move in at project entry	how long it takes fo into housing from t	n Date is collected to m r a client to physically n he time of project enrol cture of whether the clie I. APR Q22c	nove Iment	NA	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA		
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	is crucial to reducin homelessness. Incr	mproving household access to financial resources s crucial to reducing vulnerability to nomelessness. Increase in income is measured in he NOFA & System Performance Measures APR Q19a1			PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	10		
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		0.00%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	0		

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a1	83.33%	PSH: >=61% RRH: >= 5% TH: >=53%	PSH: 55-60% RRH: 2-4% TH: 47-52%	PSH: <=54% RRH: <= 1% TH: <=46%	10
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a2	100.00%	PSH: >=58% RRH: >= 51% TH: >=56%	PSH: 52-57% RRH: 45-50% TH: 50-55%	PSH: <=51% RRH: <= 44% TH: <=49%	10
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality	ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project (operations	
HUD that programs demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data		FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$247,106			PSH		
	annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing.	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	14	\$17,650	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	0
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$196,605					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds allocated in a	Total Spent	\$196,605	0.00%	0-2%	3-5%	>= 6%	10
allocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund	Unspent Amount	\$-						
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

Agency Response Section

In the boxes below, please respond to the following questions (if applicable). These responses are not scored but will be reviewed by the Performance Review Committee.

- 1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.
- Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased employment income As a project that is required to serve individuals with disabilities and in most cases severe disabilities that prevent the individual from being able to secure and/or maintain employment, our focus has been geared more toward helping our participants obtain/maintain other sources of income such as SSDI, SSI, TDAP and TANF. Historically and at present, this performance measure has proven to be very difficult to meet given the chronic physical and mental disabilities often endured by the program participants. We are open to any suggestions/recommendations that could assist us in reaching this measure in the future.

• Cost Efficiency

Due to inflation and the COVID-19 pandemic, there has been a significant increase in rental costs which has negatively impacted the cost efficiency of this project. We are taking and will continue to take every measure to seek and obtain rental units with the lowest possible rent while still meeting the needs of our participants. Unfortunately, the prices within the housing market are out of our control.

Recipient	Housing Authority of St. Mary's County, MD	Project Component Type	RRH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	Owens Rapid Rehousing Consolidated Grant - 2019 Renewal	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score				
Total Project Score = Project Performance	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	Total Points Available	Percentage Achieved		
	Project Performance	50	60	70%	58%	
Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality	HMIS Data Quality	40	40 20%		20%	
Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Grant Management & Financials	15	20	20 10% 8%		
	Total Score	86%				

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa	tion	Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score
	•	Project Perfor s a benchmark for ho ssary to understand a performan	w well _l a projec	projects hel t's rate of s	-			-
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	2 6 14 2 6 9	66.67%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	0
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	NA	NA	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	NA
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	89 71 71 0 0	100.00%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	10
Average number of days to move in at project entry	how long it takes fo into housing from t and gives a clear pic	The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure how long it takes for a client to physically move into housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is homeless or housed. APR Q22c			PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	10
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	is crucial to reducin homelessness. Incr	g household access to financial resources to reducing vulnerability to sness. Increase in income is measured in A & System Performance Measures a1			PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	NA
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		28.95%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	10

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a1	NA	PSH: >=61% RRH: >= 5% TH:	PSH: 55-60% RRH: 2-4% TH:	PSH: <=54% RRH: <= 1% TH:	NA
income			>=53%	47-52%	<=46%	
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a2	57.89%	PSH: >=58% RRH: >= 51% TH: >=56%	PSH: 52-57% RRH: 45-50% TH: 50-55%	PSH: <=51% RRH: <= 44% TH: <=49%	10
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality	ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project (operations	
Cost Efficiency	It is important to HUD that programs	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$249,878			PSH		
efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data	annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing.	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	71	\$3,519	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	5
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$197,334					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds allocated in a	Total Spent	\$197,334	5197,334 0.00% 0-2% 3-5'		3-5%	>= 6%	10
anocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund	Unspent Amount	\$-	•					
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

Agency Response Section

In the boxes below, please respond to the following questions (if applicable). These responses are not scored but will be reviewed by the Performance Review Committee.

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate

Due to additional COVID funding, we did not serve as many participants in this project. Now that COVIDrelated funding has been depleted, we do not anticipate that low occupancy rates will be an issue in the future.

• Cost Efficiency

Due to inflation and the COVID-19 pandemic, there has been a significant increase in rental costs which has negatively impacted the cost efficiency of this project. We are taking and will continue to take every measure to seek and obtain rental units with the lowest possible rent while still meeting the needs of our participants. Unfortunately, the prices within the housing market are out of our control.

Recipient	Housing Authority of St. Mary's County, MD	Project Component Type	PSH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	Aldridge PWD#16 Consolidated Grant - 2019 Renewal	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score				
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	s Total Points Category Available Weight		Percentage Achieved	
Total Project Score = Project Performance	Project Performance	50	80	70%	44%	
Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality	HMIS Data Quality	40	40	20% 20		
Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Grant Management & Financials	15	20	10% 8%		
	Total Score	71%				

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa	tion	Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score	
Project Performance 70% Achieving project outcomes provides a benchmark for how well projects help to end homelessness. Assessing & monitoring project outcomes is necessary to understand a project's rate of success and contribution to CoC-wide performance goals.									
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	5 4 6 5.25 6	87.50%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	5	
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	4	57.14%	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	10	
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	17 1 6 0 5	70.59%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	0	
Average number of days to move in at project entry	The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure how long it takes for a client to physically move into housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is homeless or housed. APR Q22c			NA	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	is crucial to reducin homelessness. Incr	Improving household access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured in the NOFA & System Performance Measures APR Q19a1			PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	0	
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		25.00%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	10	

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to		PSH:	PSH:	PSH:	
assessment who gained, maintained	homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance	75.00%	>=61% RRH: >=	55-60% RRH:	<=54% RRH:	10
or increased non- employment cash	Measures. APR Q19a1	73.0070	5% TH: >=53%	2-4% TH: 47-52%	<= 1% TH: <=46%	
income Percentage of adult	Improving a household's access to financial		PSH:	PSH:	PSH:	
leavers at exit who gained, maintained	resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured		>=58% RRH:	52-57% RRH:	<=51% RRH:	
or increased non-	through the NOFA & System Performance	50.00%	>= 51%	45-50%	<= 44%	5
employment cash income	Measures. APR Q19a2		TH: >=56%	TH: 50-55%	TH: <=49%	
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality	ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project (operations	
Cost Efficiency	It is important to HUD that programs	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$122,815			PSH		
efficiency annual co retain or r someone permanen housing. Sage APR	demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	12	\$10,235	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	5
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$97,820					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds allocated in a	Total Spent	\$97,820	0.00%	0-2% 3-5% >= 6%		>= 6%	10
allocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund	Unspent Amount	\$-	-					
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

In the boxes below, please respond to the following questions (if applicable). These responses are not scored but will be reviewed by the Performance Review Committee.

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

• Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate

Due to the unforeseen discharge of a household in April, our utilization rate for that month fell short. In the future, we will work more closely with the LHC administrator to quickly identify qualifying households from the BNL that are appropriate to fill project vacancies.

• Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing

As a result of to two unforeseen discharges due to noncompliance and criminal activity, our percentage of successful outcomes did not met the required threshold. Under normal circumstances our "Move On" strategy entails a collaborative effort with our local housing authority to transition appropriate PSH clients to the section 8 program. We will continue with our current "Move On" strategy which has proven very successful in the past; however, in the future we will also better address the barriers faced by many program participants such as mental health, substance abuse and behavior issues that often prevent participants from remaining in or exiting to permanent housing. Fortunately, the St. Mary's County Health Department has created a new and more accessible Health Hub that will provide all aforementioned services to the populations in which we serve. We are partnering with the Health Department to deliver the appropriate resources to these vulnerable clients. We are hopeful that by better addressing these needs, permanent housing outcomes will improve.

Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income

As a project that is required to serve individuals with disabilities and in most cases severe disabilities that prevent the individual from being able to secure and/or maintain employment, our focus has been geared more toward helping our participants obtain/maintain other sources of income such as SSDI, SSI, TDAP and TANF. Historically and at present, this performance measure has proven to be very difficult to meet given the chronic physical and mental disabilities often endured by the program participants. We are open to any suggestions/recommendations that could assist us in reaching this measure in the future.

Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non-employment cash income
Typically, there are no issues with capturing income at exit for clients that are successfully transitioning
from our PSH projects, however, it has been difficult to document or confirm a participant's income when
discharged for noncompliance. Generally, participants will refuse an exit interview upon an unsuccessful
project discharge. In the future, we will work in conjunction with the Department of Social Services to
identify changes in income for discharged participants that were receiving entitlements.

• Income and Housing Data Quality

In this particular case, there was one client that had a data quality issue concerning Income and Sources (4.2) at Annual Assessment. All data for this client appears to be accurate. The HMIS administrator for the Charles, Calvert and St. Mary's LHC was unable to find any errors regarding this client's income at annual assessment. It was then sent to TEAMHMIS for a resolution and TEAMHMIS was unable to identify any errors as well. TEAMHMIS has expressed that they will continue to try to find a resolution for this data quality issue as it does not appear to be a data entry error but rather a programming error or system glitch.

• Cost Efficiency

Due to inflation and the COVID-19 pandemic, there has been a significant increase in rental costs which has negatively impacted the cost efficiency of this project. We are taking and will continue to take every measure to seek and obtain rental units with the lowest possible rent while still meeting the needs of our participants. Unfortunately, the prices within the housing market are out of our control.

2) If your grant recaptured more than 10%, please explain how you intend to spend project funds in the coming year OR if you plan to voluntarily reallocate the excess budget.

Recipient	Housing Authority of St. Mary's County, MD	Project Component Type	PSH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	Haina PWD#13 Consolidated Grant - 2019 Renewal	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score			
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	Total Points Available	Percentage Achieved	
Total Project Score = Project Performance	Project Performance	45	80	70%	39%
Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality	HMIS Data Quality	40	40 20%		20%
Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Grant Management & Financials	20	20	10% 10%	
	Total Score		69	%	

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa	tion	Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score		
- · ·	Project Performance 70% Achieving project outcomes provides a benchmark for how well projects help to end homelessness. Assessing & monitoring project outcomes is necessary to understand a project's rate of success and contribution to CoC-wide performance goals.									
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	10 10 10 10 10 9	111.11%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	10		
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	5	45.45%	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	5		
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	29 1 1 0 0	100.00%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	10		
Average number of days to move in at project entry	The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure how long it takes for a client to physically move into housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is homeless or housed. APR Q22c			NA	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA		
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	Improving household access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured in the NOFA & System Performance Measures APR Q19a1			5.56%	PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	0		
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		100.00%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	10		

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a1	38.89%	PSH: >=61% RRH: >= 5% TH: >=53%	PSH: 55-60% RRH: 2-4% TH: 47-52%	PSH: <=54% RRH: <= 1% TH: <=46%	0
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a2	0.00%	PSH: >=58% RRH: >= 51% TH: >=56%	PSH: 52-57% RRH: 45-50% TH: 50-55%	PSH: <=51% RRH: <= 44% TH: <=49%	0
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality	ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project (operations	
Cost EfficiencyIt is important to HUD that programs demonstrate cost 	HUD that	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$237,313			PSH		
	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	29	\$8,183	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	10	
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$189,850					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds allocated in a	Total Spent	\$189,850	9,850 0.00% 0-2% 3-5%		3-5%	>= 6%	10
allocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund	Unspent Amount	\$-						
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

In the boxes below, please respond to the following questions (if applicable). These responses are not scored but will be reviewed by the Performance Review Committee.

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

Percentage of chronically homeless households served

Non-chronically homeless participants were enrolled in the program at times when chronically homeless clients either refused services or there were no chronically homeless households that fit the family composition of the project vacancy. In the future, the plan is to refer appropriate non-chronically homeless households to the Section 8 program and fill vacancies with chronically homeless households from the BNL.

Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income

As a project that is required to serve individuals with disabilities and in most cases severe disabilities that prevent the individual from being able to secure and/or maintain employment, our focus has been geared more toward helping our participants obtain/maintain other sources of income such as SSDI, SSI, TDAP and TANF. Historically and at present, this performance measure has proven to be very difficult to meet given the chronic physical and mental disabilities often endured by the program participants. We are open to any suggestion/recommendations that could assist us in reaching this measure in the future.

Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non-employment cash income

Referrals have been made to job training and educational resources such as DLLR, DORS, and Tri-County Workforce Development for all participants that do not have income and lack disabilities that would qualify them for TDAP or SSDI. Unfortunately, due to Housing First, we cannot mandate client participation in such resources. We will continue make appropriate referrals and encourage engagement in hopes that project participants will be receptive to receive the training and education needed to increase employment income.

Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non-employment cash income

In this particular case, it was only one leaver. Although he did not have non-employment cash income, he did have employment income and increased such income throughout his stay in the project.

2) If your grant recaptured more than 10%, please explain how you intend to spend project funds in the coming year OR if you plan to voluntarily reallocate the excess budget.

Recipient	Housing Authority of St. Mary's County, MD	Project Component Type	PSH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	Horne - Permanent Housing Project #11 - 2019 Renewal	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score				
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	Total Points Available	Percentage Achieved		
Total Project Score = Project Performance	Project Performance	45	80	70%	39%	
Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality	HMIS Data Quality	40	40 20%		20%	
Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Grant Management & Financials	15	20	10% 8%		
	Total Score	67%				

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa	tion	Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score		
	Project Performance 70% Achieving project outcomes provides a benchmark for how well projects help to end homelessness. Assessing & monitoring project outcomes is necessary to understand a project's rate of success and contribution to CoC-wide performance goals.									
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	14 13 19 16 15.5 16	96.88%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	10		
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	10 23	43.48%	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	5		
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	23 7 9 0 2	91.30%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	0		
Average number of days to move in at project entry	The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure how long it takes for a client to physically move into housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is homeless or housed. APR Q22c			NA	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA		
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	Improving household access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured in the NOFA & System Performance Measures APR Q19a1			0.00%	PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	0		
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		0.00%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	0		

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to		PSH: >=61%	PSH: 55-60%	PSH: <=54%	
assessment who gained, maintained	homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance	77.78%	RRH: >= 5%	RRH:	RRH:	10
or increased non- employment cash income	Measures. APR Q19a1		5% TH: >=53%	2-4% TH: 47-52%	<= 1% TH: <=46%	
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to		PSH: >=58%	PSH: 52-57%	PSH: <=51%	
gained, maintained or increased non-	homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance	88.89%	RRH: >= 51%	RRH: 45-50%	RRH: <= 44%	10
employment cash income	Measures. APR Q19a2		TH: >=56%	TH: 50-55%	TH: <=49%	
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality	ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project (operations	
HUD that programs demonstrate of efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data		FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$205,906			PSH		
	permanent	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	21	\$9,805	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	5
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$162,421					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds allocated in a	Total Spent	\$162,421	0.00%	0-2%	3-5%	>= 6%	10
anocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund	Unspent Amount	\$-						
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

In the boxes below, please respond to the following questions (if applicable). These responses are not scored but will be reviewed by the Performance Review Committee.

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

Percentage of chronically homeless households served

Non-chronically homeless participants were enrolled in the program at times when chronically homeless clients either 1) refused services or 2) there were no chronically homeless households that fit the family composition of the project vacancy. In the future, the plan is to refer appropriate non-chronically homeless households to the Section 8 program and fill vacancies with chronically homeless households from the BNL.

Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing

Our current "Move On" strategy entails a collaborative effort with our local housing authority to transition appropriate PSH clients to the section 8 program. We will continue with our current "Move On" strategy which has proven very successful in the past; however, in the future we will better address the barriers faced by many program participants such as mental health issues, substance abuse and behavior issues that often prevent participants from remaining in or exiting to permanent housing. Fortunately, the St. Mary's County Health Department has created a new and more accessible Health Hub that will provide all aforementioned services to the populations in which we serve. Three Oaks is partnering with the Health Department to deliver the appropriate resources to these vulnerable clients. We are hopeful that by better addressing these needs, permanent housing outcomes will improve.

Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income & Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased employment income

As a project that is required to serve individuals with disabilities and in most cases severe disabilities that prevent the individual from being able to secure and/or maintain employment, our focus has been geared more toward helping our participants obtain/maintain other sources of income such as SSDI, SSI, TDAP and TANF. Historically and at present, this performance measure has proven to be very difficult to meet given the chronic physical and mental disabilities often endured by the program participants. We are open to any suggestion/recommendations that could assist us in reaching this measure in the future.

Cost Efficiency

Due to inflation and the COVID-19 pandemic, there has been a significant increase in rental costs which as negatively impacted the cost efficiency of this project. We are taking and will continue to take every measure to seek and obtain rental units with the lowest possible rent while still meeting the needs of our participants. Unfortunately, the prices within the housing market are out of our control.

2) If your grant recaptured more than 10%, please explain how you intend to spend project funds in the coming year OR if you plan to voluntarily reallocate the excess budget.

Recipient	Housing Authority of St. Mary's County, MD	Project Component Type	PSH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	Nicholson - Permanent Housing Project #8 - 2019 Renewal	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score			
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	Total PointsCategoryFAvailableWeight		Percentage Achieved
Total Project Score = Project Performance	Project Performance	35	80	70%	31%
Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality	HMIS Data Quality	40	40	20% 20%	
Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Grant Management & Financials	20	20	10% 10%	
	Total Score		61	%	

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa	tion	Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score
••••	•	Project Perfor s a benchmark for ho ssary to understand a performan	w well _l a projec	projects hel t's rate of s	•			•
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	10 11 12 11 11 11 12	91.67%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	10
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	3	20.00%	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	0
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	46 4 6 1 1	97.83%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	5
Average number of days to move in at project entry	The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure how long it takes for a client to physically move into housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is homeless or housed. APR Q22c			NA	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	Improving household access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured in the NOFA & System Performance Measures APR Q19a1			5.88%	PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	0
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		0.00%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	0

						-
or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult	Improving a household's access to financial		PSH:	PSH:	PSH:	
stayers at annual	resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to		>=61%	55-60%	<=54%	
assessment who	homelessness. Increase in income is measured					
gained, maintained	through the NOFA & System Performance	47.06%	RRH: >=	RRH:	RRH:	0
or increased non-	Measures.		5%	2-4%	<= 1%	
employment cash	APR Q19a1		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=53%	47-52%	<=46%	
Percentage of adult	Improving a household's access to financial		PSH:	PSH:	PSH:	
leavers at exit who	resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to		>=58%	52-57%	<=51%	
gained, maintained	homelessness. Increase in income is measured	100.000/	RRH:	RRH:	RRH:	
or increased non-	through the NOFA & System Performance	100.00%	>= 51%	45-50%	<= 44%	10
employment cash	Measures.		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income	APR Q19a2		>=56%	50-55%	<=49%	
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality	ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project (operations	
Cost Efficiency It is important to HUD that programs demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data	HUD that	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$337,390			PSH		
	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	44	\$7,668	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	10	
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$269,912					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds allocated in a	Total Spent	\$269,912	0.00% 0-2% 3-5%		>= 6%	10	
allocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund	Unspent Amount	\$-						
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

In the boxes below, please respond to the following questions (if applicable). These responses are not scored but will be reviewed by the Performance Review Committee.

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

Percentage of chronically homeless households served

Non-chronically homeless participants were enrolled in the program at times when chronically homeless clients either refused services or there were no chronically homeless households that fit the family composition of the project vacancy. Additionally, some participants were enrolled prior to the chronically homeless requirement. In the future, the plan is to refer appropriate non-chronically homeless households to the Section 8 program and fill vacancies with chronically homeless households from the BNL.

Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing

Our current "Move On" strategy entails a collaborative effort with our local housing authority to transition appropriate PSH clients to the section 8 program. We will continue with our current "Move On" strategy which has proven very successful in the past; however, in the future we will also better address the barriers faced by many program participants such as mental health, substance abuse and behavior issues that often prevent participants from remaining in or exiting to permanent housing. Fortunately, the St. Mary's County Health Department has created a new and more accessible Health Hub that will provide all aforementioned services to the populations in which we serve. Three Oaks is partnering with the Health Department to deliver the appropriate resources to these vulnerable clients. We are hopeful that by better addressing these needs, permanent housing outcomes will improve.

Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income & Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased employment income

As a project that is required to serve individuals with disabilities and in most cases severe disabilities that prevent the individual from being able to secure and/or maintain employment, our focus has been geared more toward helping our participants obtain/maintain other sources of income such as SSDI, SSI, TDAP and TANF. Historically and at present, this performance measure has proven to be very difficult to meet given the chronic physical and mental disabilities often endured by the program participants. We are open to any suggestions/recommendations that could assist us in reaching this measure in the future.

Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non-employment cash income

Most of our program participants receive SSI, SSDI or TDAP. As a result, they only receive an annual cost-of-living increase which typically goes into effect in January of each year. We generally update the participant record at this time as an interim update. In the future we will update this increase during the participant's annual assessment so it will be reflected accurately in the HMIS record and positively count toward our performance measure.

2) If your grant recaptured more than 10%, please explain how you intend to spend project funds in the coming year OR if you plan to voluntarily reallocate the excess budget.

Recipient	LifeStyles of Maryland Foundation, Inc.	Project Component Type	Joint TH-RRH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	LifeStyles' DV Joint TH	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score				
Total Project Score = Project Performance	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	Total Points Available	Percentage Achieved		
	Project Performance	35	50	70%	49%	
Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality	HMIS Data Quality	40	50	20%	16%	
Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Grant Management & Financials	15	20	10% 8%		
	Total Score	72%				

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa		Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score
	•	Project Perfor s a benchmark for ho ssary to understand a performan	w well _l a projec	projects hel t's rate of s	-			-
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	2 1 2 3 2 2	100.00%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	10
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	NA	NA	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	NA
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	8 6 8 0 2	75.00%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	5
Average number of days to move in at project entry	The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure how long it takes for a client to physically move into housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is homeless or housed. APR Q22c			NA	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	is crucial to reducin homelessness. Incr	ng household access to financial resources al to reducing vulnerability to ssness. Increase in income is measured in FA & System Performance Measures 9a1			PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	NA
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		60.00%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	10

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a1	NA	PSH: >=61% RRH: >= 5% TH: >=53%	PSH: 55-60% RRH: 2-4% TH: 47-52%	PSH: <=54% RRH: <= 1% TH: <=46%	NA
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a2	20.00%	PSH: >=58% RRH: >= 51% TH: >=56%	PSH: 52-57% RRH: 45-50% TH: 50-55%	PSH: <=51% RRH: <= 44% TH: <=49%	0
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality	ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		40%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	0
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	10	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	10

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project (operations	
Cost EfficiencyIt is important to HUD that programs demonstrate cost 	HUD that	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$62,350			PSH		
	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	6	\$10,392	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	5	
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$49,664					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds allocated in a	Total Spent	\$49,664	0.00%	0-2%	3-5%	>= 6%	10
anocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund	Unspent Amount	\$-						
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

In the boxes below, please respond to the following questions (if applicable). These responses are not scored but will be reviewed by the Performance Review Committee.

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing: Gayle's House provides designated beds for domestic violence survivors who are immediately fleeing a vulnerable situation with a potential chance of re-occurrence. LifeStyles on multiple occasions offered this service to multiple households, some of whom declined transitioning due to their lack of comfort of being in a shelter program and also the fears of the COVID-19 pandemic. This caused a decrease in the number of persons served this year as the agency served a lower number of participants in this program based upon the HIC.

Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non-employment cash income: During the pandemic, participants' SNAP benefits did increase however it was not properly recorded in HMIS to show the increase.

Chronic Homelessness Data Elements: Participants were not deemed as chronically homeless at entry, but one household was deemed chronically homeless at the exit from transitional housing.

Cost Efficiency: The cost efficiency is a direct result of three reasons: 1) the challenges of transitioning persons due to lack of housing units available, therefore keeping persons in the transitional housing program for a longer period of time; 2) one household was transitioned using a Section 8 subsidized housing voucher, therefore limited RRH funds were needed; and 3) the number of households served was lower than what was estimated, therefore increasing the cost per person.

2) If your grant recaptured more than 10%, please explain how you intend to spend project funds in the coming year OR if you plan to voluntarily reallocate the excess budget.

Not applicable

Recipient	LifeStyles of Maryland Foundation, Inc.	Project Component Type	Joint TH-RRH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	LifeStyles' DV Joint RRH	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score			
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	Total Points Available	Category Weight	Percentage Achieved
Total Project Score = Project Performance	Project Performance	30	60	70%	35%
Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality	HMIS Data Quality	50	50	20%	20%
Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Grant Management & Financials	10	5%		
	Total Score		60	%	

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa		Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score
	•	Project Perfor s a benchmark for ho ssary to understand a performan	w well _l a projec	projects hel t's rate of s	-			-
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	0 0 0 0 0 2	0.00%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	0
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	NA	NA	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	NA
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	6 3 3 0 0	100.00%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	10
Average number of days to move in at project entry	how long it takes fo into housing from t	n Date is collected to m r a client to physically n he time of project enrol cture of whether the clie I. APR Q22c	nove Iment	60	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	0
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	is crucial to reducin homelessness. Incr	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu Performance Measures	ured in	NA	PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	NA
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		0.00%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	0

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non-	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures.	NA	PSH: >=61% RRH: >= 5%	PSH: 55-60% RRH: 2-4%	PSH: <=54% RRH: <= 1%	NA
employment cash income	APR Q19a1		TH: >=53%	TH: 47-52%	TH: <=46%	
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a2	100.00%	PSH: >=58% RRH: >= 51% TH: >=56%	PSH: 52-57% RRH: 45-50% TH: 50-55%	PSH: <=51% RRH: <= 44% TH: <=49%	10
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	10	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	10

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project	operations	
Cost Efficiency	It is important to HUD that programs	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$62,350			PSH		
	demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	3	\$20,783	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	0
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$49,664					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds	Total Spent	\$49,664	0.00%	0-2%	3-5%	>= 6%	10
	allocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for	Unspent Amount	\$-					
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	potential fund reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

Agency Response Section In the boxes below, please respond to the following questions (if applicable). These responses are not scored but will be reviewed by the Performance Review Committee.

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate: Households that were transitioned with RRH funds moved at dates that didn't align with the quarterly utilization dates.

Average number of days to move in at project entry: One household who transitioned utilizing a Section 8 housing voucher had challenges in both securing the unit and having it inspected in a timely manner, therefore increasing the number of days of move-in.

Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased employment income: Participants only maintained their income, and did not increase during their RRH enrollment.

Cost Efficiency: The cost efficiency is a direct result of three reasons: 1) the challenges of transitioning persons due to lack of housing units available, therefore keeping persons in the transitional housing program for a longer period of time; 2) one household was transitioned using a Section 8 subsidized housing voucher, therefore limited RRH funds were needed; and 3) the number of households served was lower than what was estimated, therefore increasing the cost per person.

2) If your grant recaptured more than 10%, please explain how you intend to spend project funds in the coming year OR if you plan to voluntarily reallocate the excess budget.

Not applicable

Recipient	Maryland Department of Health	Project Component Type	PSH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	BHA PSH Washington County FY 2019	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score					
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	Total Points Available	Category Weight	Percentage Achieved		
Total Project Score = Project Performance	Project Performance	60	80	70%	52%		
Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality	HMIS Data Quality	30	40	20%	15%		
Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Grant Management & Financials	10	10 20 10% 5				
	Total Score		73	%			

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa	tion	Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score
	•	Project Perfor s a benchmark for ho ssary to understand a performan	w well _l a projec	projects hel t's rate of s	-			-
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	23 22 24 23 23 23 25	92.00%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	10
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	10 26	38.46%	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	0
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	44 4 5 1 0	100.00%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	10
Average number of days to move in at project entry	how long it takes fo into housing from t	n Date is collected to m r a client to physically n he time of project enrol cture of whether the clie I. APR Q22c	nove Iment	NA	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	is crucial to reducin homelessness. Incr	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu Performance Measures	ured in	3.70%	PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	0
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		33.33%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	10

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a1	76.92%	PSH: >=61% RRH: >= 5% TH: >=53%	PSH: 55-60% RRH: 2-4% TH: 47-52%	PSH: <=54% RRH: <= 1% TH: <=46%	10
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a2	66.67%	PSH: >=58% RRH: >= 51% TH: >=56%	PSH: 52-57% RRH: 45-50% TH: 50-55%	PSH: <=51% RRH: <= 44% TH: <=49%	10
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate		7%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	0
Universal Data Elements Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project of	operations.	
Cost Efficiency	It is important to HUD that programs	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$334,583			PSH		
	demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	43	\$7,781	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	10
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$290,886					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds allocated in a	Total Spent	\$209,357	28.03%	0-2%	0-2% 3-5% >= 6%	>= 6%	0
	timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund reallocation of unspent funds	Unspent Amount	\$81,529					
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)		Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	12.86%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

Percentage of Chronically Homeless Served: The individuals being served who do not meet the definition of chronically homeless were placed in the program prior to its transition from Shelter Plus to the HUD CoC Program. The project is diligent in prioritizing individuals who are experiencing chronic homelessness and working with coordinated entry.

Percentage of Adult Stayers who gained/maintained/increased employment income: There are individuals in the program who are not working, have a disability, and are receiving Social Security Entitlements. As evidenced by the other income data points, the majority of the participants receive a benefit as a source of income. Supportive Service providers have regular and recurring discussions with individuals on the benefits of working to include a review of benefits and the impact of employment on benefits and insurance coverage. Specialized technical assistance and consultation is available for supportive service providers regarding the safety nets provided by social security for individuals who choose to return to work. Going forward, we will attempt to increase awareness of the Supported Employment Program in the community for program participants. **Personal Identifying Information Error Rate:** There were three (3) individuals in the program who were missing Social Security Numbers. All three of these individuals were in the same household and were grandchildren of the participant. When the children came into her care, she was not able to provide this information, therefore the ability to input information properly was out of the control of our program staff. We will attempt to obtain this information if possible.

2) If your grant recaptured more than 10%, please explain how you intend to spend project funds in the coming year OR if you plan to voluntarily reallocate the excess budget.

The ongoing effects of COVID-19 continued to impact the program's ability to spend down funds. BHA and the Washington County Core Service Agency have been working closely together to ensure that funds are being used on allowable categories for rental assistance and administrative costs. Several factors affected our ability to spend funds, despite our best efforts. The eviction moratorium impacted the number of suitable and affordable housing units available in the area. The influx of rapid rehousing funds to the community impacted the number of applicants applying for the program. Additionally, the program had difficulty finding property managers to work with individuals who have extensive justice-involved backgrounds or who even wanted to accept a rental subsidy. We also had two (2) discharges from the program, further reducing the program's spending. In the past fiscal year, program staff have done extensive outreach with local service providers in order to promote the CoC housing program and have worked with those providers to become more creative and flexible in getting qualified candidates into the program. In the past six months we have had four (4) new participants obtain housing and an additional five (5) applications approved for the program. Consequently, we anticipate maximizing project funds in the coming year.

Recipient	Maryland Department of Health	Project Component Type	PSH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	BHA PSH Southern MD FY 2019	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score						
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	Total Points Available	Category Weight	Percentage Achieved			
Total Project Score = Project Performance	Project Performance	50	50 80		44%			
Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	HMIS Data Quality	25	40	20%	13%			
	Grant Management & Financials	10	20	10%	5%			
	Total Score	61%						

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa		Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score
	•	Project Perfor s a benchmark for ho ssary to understand a performan	w well _l a projec	projects hel t's rate of s	-			-
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	60 62 62 62 61.5 60	102.50%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	10
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	31	42.47%	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	5
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	156 12 17 4 1	99.36%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	10
Average number of days to move in at project entry	The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure how long it takes for a client to physically move into housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is homeless or housed. APR Q22c			NA	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	Improving household access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured in the NOFA & System Performance Measures APR Q19a1			13.10%	PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	5
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		7.69%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	5

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a1	60.71%	PSH: >=61% RRH: >= 5% TH: >=53%	PSH: 55-60% RRH: 2-4% TH: 47-52%	PSH: <=54% RRH: <= 1% TH: <=46%	5
income Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a2	46.15%	PSH: >=58% RRH: >= 51% TH: >=56%	PSH: 52-57% RRH: 45-50% TH: 50-55%	PSH: <=51% RRH: <= 44% TH: <=49%	0
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	2%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	5
Universal Data Elements Error Rate		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality		3%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	5
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		4%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	5
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project (operations	
Cost Efficiency		FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$1,732,4 56			PSH		
		Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	151	\$11,473	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	0
Percentage of total grant funds that were recaptured in	It is important to HUD that programs show	Total Grant Award	\$1,329,1 69					
the most recent grant closeout	agency capacity to spend funds	Total Spent	\$1,314,8 88	1.07%	0-2%	3-5%	>= 6%	10
	allocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund	Unspent Amount	\$14,281					
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	1.42%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

Recipient	Maryland Department of Health	Project Component Type	PSH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	BHA PSH Cecil County 7 Unit FY 2019	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score					
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	Total Points Available	Category Weight	Percentage Achieved		
Total Project Score = Project Performance	Project Performance	45	85	70%	37%		
Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality	HMIS Data Quality	20	40	20%	10%		
Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Grant Management & Financials	10	20	10%	5%		
	Total Score	52%					

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa	tion	Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score
	•	Project Perfor s a benchmark for ho ssary to understand performan	w well _l a projec	projects hel t's rate of s	-			-
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	8 9 8 8 8.25 7	117.86%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	10
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	6	46.15%	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	10
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	17 1 3 1 1	94.12%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	5
Average number of days to move in at project entry	The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure how long it takes for a client to physically move into housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is homeless or housed. APR Q22c			NA	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	Improving household access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured in the NOFA & System Performance Measures APR Q19a1			10.00%	PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	5
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		0.00%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	0

						-
or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a1	60.00%	PSH: >=61% RRH: >= 5% TH: >=53%	PSH: 55-60% RRH: 2-4% TH: 47-52%	PSH: <=54% RRH: <= 1% TH: <=46%	5
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a2	0.00%	PSH: >=58% RRH: >= 51% TH: >=56%	PSH: 52-57% RRH: 45-50% TH: 50-55%	PSH: <=51% RRH: <= 44% TH: <=49%	0
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate		7%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	0
Income and Housing Data Quality		43%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	0
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project of	operations.	
Cost Efficiency	It is important to HUD that programs	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$134,604			PSH		
	demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	15	\$8,974	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	10
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$103,027					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds allocated in a	Total Spent	\$62,180	39.65%	0-2%	0-2% 3-5% >= 6	>= 6%	0
	allocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund	Unspent Amount	\$40,847					
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	36.85%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

Recipient	Maryland Department of Health	Project Component Type	PSH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	BHA PSH Allegany County FY 2019	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score			
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	Total Points Available	Category Weight	Percentage Achieved
Total Project Score = Project Performance Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Project Performance	40	85	70%	33%
	HMIS Data Quality	40	40	20%	20%
	Grant Management & Financials	20	20	10%	10%
	Total Score		63	%	

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa	tion	Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score
		Project Perfor s a benchmark for ho ssary to understand a performan	w well _l a projec	projects hel t's rate of s	•			-
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	8 8 8 8 8 8	100.00%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	10
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	6	54.55%	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	10
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	18 1 3 0 2	88.89%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	0
Average number of days to move in at project entry	The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure how long it takes for a client to physically move into housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is homeless or housed. APR Q22c			NA	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	Improving household access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured in the NOFA & System Performance Measures APR Q19a1			0.00%	PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	0
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		0.00%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	0

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a1	27.27%	PSH: >=61% RRH: >= 5% TH:	PSH: 55-60% RRH: 2-4% TH:	PSH: <=54% RRH: <= 1% TH:	0
income			>=53%	47-52%	<=46%	
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a2	66.67%	PSH: >=58% RRH: >= 51% TH: >=56%	PSH: 52-57% RRH: 45-50% TH: 50-55%	PSH: <=51% RRH: <= 44% TH: <=49%	10
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality	ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project of	operations.	
Cost Efficiency	It is important to HUD that programs	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$80,131			PSH		
	demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	16	\$5,008	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	10
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$63,925					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds	Total Spent	\$63,493	0.68%	0-2%	3-5%	>= 6%	10
	allocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund	Unspent Amount	\$432					
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	Rate – For	1.67%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

Agency Response Section

In the boxes below, please respond to the following questions (if applicable). These responses are not scored but will be reviewed by the Performance Review Committee.

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing: The CoC case manager will monitor compliance with supportive services and provide linkages to any additional services needed that would increase the likelihood of CoC participants remaining in the community.

Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income: The CoC case manager will refer participants who are able to work to appropriate employment programs and resources. The case manager will also monitor compliance with supportive services which will increase their likelihood of gaining, maintaining, or increasing income.

Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased employment income: The CoC case manager will refer participants who are able to work to appropriate employment programs and resources. The case manager will also monitor compliance with supportive services which will increase their likelihood of gaining, maintaining, or increasing income.

Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non-employment cash income: The CoC case manager will assist participants who are unable to work in applying for the appropriate sources of non-employment cash income. The case manager will also monitor compliance with supportive services which will increase their likelihood of gaining, maintaining, or increasing non-employment cash income.

Recipient	Maryland Department of Health	Project Component Type	PSH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	BHA PSH Cecil County 5 Unit FY 2019	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score			
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	Total Points Available	Category Weight	Percentage Achieved
Total Project Score = Project Performance Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Project Performance	30	60	70%	35%
	HMIS Data Quality	30	40	20%	15%
	Grant Management & Financials	10	20	10%	5%
	Total Score		55	%	

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa	tion	Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score
	•	Project Perfor s a benchmark for ho ssary to understand a performan	w well µ a projec	projects hel t's rate of s	-			-
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	2 2 2 2 2 2 5	40.00%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	0
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	1	20.00%	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	0
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	7 1 1 0 0	100.00%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	10
Average number of days to move in at project entry	The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure how long it takes for a client to physically move into housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is homeless or housed. APR Q22c			NA	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	Improving household access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured in the NOFA & System Performance Measures APR Q19a1			0.00%	PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	0
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		NA	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	NA

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult	Improving a household's access to financial		PSH:	PSH:	PSH:	
stayers at annual	resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to		>=61%	55-60%	<=54%	
assessment who	homelessness. Increase in income is measured		>=01% RRH: >=	RRH:	<=34% RRH:	
gained, maintained	through the NOFA & System Performance	100.00%	5%		ккп: <= 1%	10
or increased non-	Measures.			2-4%		
employment cash	APR Q19a1		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=53%	47-52%	<=46%	
Percentage of adult	Improving a household's access to financial		PSH:	PSH:	PSH:	
leavers at exit who	resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to		>=58%	52-57%	<=51%	
gained, maintained	homelessness. Increase in income is measured	NIA	RRH:	RRH:	RRH:	
or increased non-	through the NOFA & System Performance	NA	>= 51%	45-50%	<= 44%	NA
employment cash	Measures.		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income	APR Q19a2		>=56%	50-55%	<=49%	
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality		50%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	0
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project (operations	
Cost Efficiency	It is important to HUD that programs	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$98,031			PSH		
	demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	7	\$14,004	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	0
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$75,065					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds allocated in a	Total Spent	\$75,065	0.00%	0-2%	3-5%	>= 6%	10
	anocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund	Unspent Amount	\$-					
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	Rate – For	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

Recipient	Maryland Department of Health, Behavioral Health Administration	Project Component Type	PSH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	BHA PSH Harford County FY 2021	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score			
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	Total Points Available	Category Weight	Percentage Achieved
Total Project Score = Project Performance Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Project Performance	45	80	70%	39%
	HMIS Data Quality	10	40	20%	5%
	Grant Management & Financials	20	20	10%	10%
	Total Score		54	%	

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa	tion	Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score
- · ·	•	Project Perfor s a benchmark for ho ssary to understand a performan	w well _l a projec	projects hel t's rate of s	-			-
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	8 7 8 8 7.75 17	45.59%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	0
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	22	31.82%	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	0
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	44 4 6 0 2	95.45%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	5
Average number of days to move in at project entry	The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure how long it takes for a client to physically move into housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is homeless or housed. APR Q22c			NA	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	Improving household access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured in the NOFA & System Performance Measures APR Q19a1			20.00%	PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	10
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		40.00%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	10

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a1	73.33%	PSH: >=61% RRH: >= 5% TH:	PSH: 55-60% RRH: 2-4% TH:	PSH: <=54% RRH: <= 1% TH:	10
income			>=53%	47-52%	<=46%	
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a2	40.00%	PSH: >=58% RRH: >= 51% TH: >=56%	PSH: 52-57% RRH: 45-50% TH: 50-55%	PSH: <=51% RRH: <= 44% TH: <=49%	0
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate		11%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	0
Income and Housing Data Quality		44%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	0
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		41%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	0
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project of	operations.	
Cost Efficiency	It is important to HUD that programs	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$368,975			PSH		
	demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	42	\$8,785	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	10
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$286,000					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds allocated in a	Total Spent	\$286,000	0.00%	0-2% 3-	3-5%	>= 6%	10
	allocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund	Unspent Amount	\$-					
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

Recipient	Potomac Case Management Services, INC	Project Component Type	PSH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	WC PSH Program Individuals	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score			
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	Total Points Available	Category Weight	Percentage Achieved
Total Project Score = Project Performance Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Project Performance	45	80	70%	39%
	HMIS Data Quality	40	40	20%	20%
	Grant Management & Financials	20	20	10%	10%
	Total Score		69	%	

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa	tion	Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score
••••	•	Project Perfor s a benchmark for ho ssary to understand performan	w well µ a projec	projects hel t's rate of s	•			•
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	24 28 28 23 25.75 36	71.53%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	5
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	33	63.46%	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	10
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	52 16 23 0 7	86.54%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	0
Average number of days to move in at project entry	The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure how long it takes for a client to physically move into housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is homeless or housed. APR Q22c			NA	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	Improving household access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured in the NOFA & System Performance Measures APR Q19a1			16.67%	PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	10
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		4.35%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	0

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a1	58.33%	PSH: >=61% RRH: >= 5% TH: >=53%	PSH: 55-60% RRH: 2-4% TH: 47-52%	PSH: <=54% RRH: <= 1% TH: <=46%	5
income Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a2	60.87%	PSH: >=58% RRH: >= 51% TH: >=56%	PSH: 52-57% RRH: 45-50% TH: 50-55%	PSH: <=51% RRH: <= 44% TH: <=49%	10
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	13%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	5

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project of	operations.	
Cost Efficiency	HUD that programs demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$181,910			PSH \$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	
		Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	43	\$4,230	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999			10
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$177,388					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds allocated in a	Total Spent	\$177,037	0.20%	0% 0-2% 3-5%	3-5%	>= 6%	10
	allocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund	Unspent Amount	\$351					
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

- 1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.
- Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate- PCMS utilizes the coordinated entry system and maximizes the Housing First approach and is limited due to the fact some participants don't have co-occurring mental health or physical disabilities or are unable to provide supportive homeless documentation to verify homeless time or chronic status. This information is not always received at time of acceptance into Coordinated Entry.
- Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing Two of the participants deemed unsuccessful were jailed. Of the other five, two went to transitional housing which was a better fit for the clients due to their unwillingness to share an apartment. As single units became available, they moved from transitional housing to the Shelter Plus CoC program where they can rent individual residences without a roommate. The remaining three were removed from the program as they were deemed safety concerns (one required a restraining order after physically assaulting staff). PCMS case management is committed to finding the best housing solution for each client. If our housing program is not that solution, we will assist the client in seeking out and engaging the best solution.
- Gained or increased employment income- As most participants receive or are actively seeking SSDI benefits their employment is restricted. As PCMS practices a housing-first model, we will continue to not require employment but encourage it as they are able.
- Gained or increased non-employment cash income As PCMS practices a housing-first model, we will continue to
 not require employment but encourage it as they are able. We will ensure that clients are educated on all nonemployment cash income resources and encourage them to apply for applicable benefits.
- Returns to Homelessness There are seemingly insurmountable obstacles to keeping clients housed including long term drug use and untreated mental health. To reduce the percentage of those returning to homeless, we remain committed to practicing a housing first model. We encourage clients to pursue substance abuse and mental health treatment and additional community supports, however due to stigma, adverse economic impact, and client agency, clients choose not to seek treatment.
- 2) If your grant recaptured more than 10%, please explain how you intend to spend project funds in the coming year OR if you plan to voluntarily reallocate the excess budget.

N/A

Recipient	Potomac Case Management Services, INC	Project Component Type	PSH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	WC PSH Families	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score			
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	Total Points Available	Category Weight	Percentage Achieved
Total Project Score = Project Performance	Project Performance	35	80	70%	31%
Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality	HMIS Data Quality	40	40	20%	20%
Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Grant Management & Financials	15	20	10%	8%
	Total Score		58	%	

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa	tion	Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score	
Project Performance 70% Achieving project outcomes provides a benchmark for how well projects help to end homelessness. Assessing & monitoring project outcomes is necessary to understand a project's rate of success and contribution to CoC-wide performance goals.									
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	2 1 3 2.25 3	75.00%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	5	
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	3	100.00%	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	10	
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	7 2 4 0 2	71.43%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	0	
Average number of days to move in at project entry	The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure how long it takes for a client to physically move into housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is homeless or housed. APR Q22c			NA	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	Improving household access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured in the NOFA & System Performance Measures APR Q19a1			50.00%	PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	10	
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		0.00%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	0	

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a1	0.00%	PSH: >=61% RRH: >= 5% TH:	PSH: 55-60% RRH: 2-4% TH:	PSH: <=54% RRH: <= 1% TH:	0
income			>=53%	47-52%	<=46%	
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a2	75.00%	PSH: >=58% RRH: >= 51% TH: >=56%	PSH: 52-57% RRH: 45-50% TH: 50-55%	PSH: <=51% RRH: <= 44% TH: <=49%	10
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	50%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	0

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project of	operations.	
Cost Efficiency	It is important to HUD that programs	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$37,265			PSH		
	demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	5	\$7,453	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	10
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$36,534					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds allocated in a	Total Spent	\$34,844	4.63%	0-2%	0-2% 3-5% >= 6%	>= 6%	5
	anocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund	Unspent Amount	\$1,690					
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	3.07%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

Occupancy Rate – Two of our three current properties available for families were unsuitable for the families that needed housing (one unit was an efficiency, didn't work for families in need, other was on third floor which caused barriers for head of households that needed disability accommodations). Our current leases are expiring, and we are actively searching for better suited units to house families in need.

Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing – The two unsuccessful exits were a couple and are a rare occasion for the program. The apartment became infested with white worms due to the tenants' lack of hygiene and housekeeping skills, along with unsafe risk behaviors engaged in by the client. Despite our efforts (creating an action plan, providing cleaning supplies, coordinating medical treatment) and consulting with pest control (condition untreatable by pest control), the tenants chose not to implement the plan and abandoned their apartment. We have made numerous attempts to reconnect them to case management services and rehouse both clients, but they did not respond. Moving forward, we will continue our efforts to assist clients with hygiene and housekeeping skills while emphasizing the destabilizing impact of walking away from permanent supportive housing.

Leavers who gained/maintained employment – Clients regularly receive SSDI before entering this program and maintained it throughout the duration of their stay. Both leavers reported here did not seek or maintain employment because it would jeopardize their SSDI benefits. Moving forward, we will encourage SSDI recipients to seek part time employment, if possible, but we will continue to prioritize keeping clients housed according to the housing-first model.

Stayers who Gained/Increased Non-Employment Cash Income – The only stayer has employment income. Therefore, his non-employment cash income will not increase due to his employment.

Return to Homelessness – Two families (four participants) are captured in this score but only one family (two participants) truly returned to homelessness. One couple split and moved out of the family program. The female client moved in with another man outside the program and the male client moved to the individual PSH program. The other couple is described above (Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing) and chose to disengage fully from any PCMS services. There are seemingly insurmountable obstacles to keeping clients housed including long term drug use and untreated mental health. To reduce the percentage of those returning to homeless, PCMS remains committed to practicing a housing first model. We encourage clients to pursue substance use and mental health treatment and additional community supports, however due to stigma, adverse economic impact, and client agency, clients choose not to seek treatment.

Percentage of grant recaptured from previous close out – We successfully spent 95% of the funding for FY2022. The remaining funds are not sufficient to rent another unit. If able, we could use remaining future funds for participant quality of living needs – i.e. pest services, client supplies, appliance upgrades, basic furniture needs, etc.

2) If your grant recaptured more than 10%, please explain how you intend to spend project funds in the coming year OR if you plan to voluntarily reallocate the excess budget.

N/A

Recipient	PROLOGUE, INC	Project Component Type	PSH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	Prologue PSH	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score			
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	Total Points Available	Category Weight	Percentage Achieved
Total Project Score = Project Performance	Project Performance	30	80	70%	26%
Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality	HMIS Data Quality	40	40	20%	20%
Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Grant Management & Financials	10	20	10%	5%
	Total Score		51	%	

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa	tion	Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score
	•	Project Perfor s a benchmark for ho ssary to understand a performan	w well µ a projec	projects hel t's rate of s	-			-
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	5 4 7 7 5.75 6	95.83%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	10
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	3	30.00%	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	0
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	11 0 2 0 2	81.82%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	0
Average number of days to move in at project entry	The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure how long it takes for a client to physically move into housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is homeless or housed. APR Q22c			NA	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	Improving household access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured in the NOFA & System Performance Measures APR Q19a1			0.00%	PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	0
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		0.00%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	0

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non-	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures.	75.00%	PSH: >=61% RRH: >= 5% TH:	PSH: 55-60% RRH: 2-4% TH:	PSH: <=54% RRH: <= 1% TH:	10
employment cash income	APR Q19a1		>=53%	47-52%	<=46%	
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a2	100.00%	PSH: >=58% RRH: >= 51% TH: >=56%	PSH: 52-57% RRH: 45-50% TH: 50-55%	PSH: <=51% RRH: <= 44% TH: <=49%	10
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	50%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	0

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project (operations	
Cost Efficiency	It is important to HUD that programs	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$154,839			PSH		
	demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	9	\$17,204	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	0
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$137,605					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds allocated in a	Total Spent	\$137,605	0.00%	0-2%	3-5%	>= 6%	10
anocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund	Unspent Amount	\$-						
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

2) If your grant recaptured more than 10%, please explain how you intend to spend project funds in the coming year OR if you plan to voluntarily reallocate the excess budget.

Recipient	Three Oaks Homeless Shelter, Inc	Project Component Type	RRH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	Waring Rapid Re-Housing Consolidated Project - 2019 Renewal	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score			
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	Total Points Available	Category Weight	Percentage Achieved
Total Project Score = Project Performance Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Project Performance	50	60	70%	58%
	HMIS Data Quality	40	40	20%	20%
	Grant Management & Financials	20	20 10%		10%
	Total Score		88	%	

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa	tion	Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score
Project Performance 70% Achieving project outcomes provides a benchmark for how well projects help to end homelessness. Assessing & monitoring project outcomes is necessary to understand a project's rate of success and contribution to CoC-wide performance goals.								
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	0 3 8 0 2.75 7	39.29%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	0
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	NA	NA	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	NA
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	89 59 59 0 0	100.00%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	10
Average number of days to move in at project entry	The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure how long it takes for a client to physically move into housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is homeless or housed. APR Q22c			17	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	10
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	Improving household access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured in the NOFA & System Performance Measures APR Q19a1			NA	PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	NA
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		33.33%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	10

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a1	NA	PSH: >=61% RRH: >= 5% TH: >=53%	PSH: 55-60% RRH: 2-4% TH: 47-52%	PSH: <=54% RRH: <= 1% TH: <=46%	NA
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a2	52.78%	PSH: >=58% RRH: >= 51% TH: >=56%	PSH: 52-57% RRH: 45-50% TH: 50-55%	PSH: <=51% RRH: <= 44% TH: <=49%	10
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	1%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project (operations	
Cost Efficiency	It is important to HUD that programs	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$148,131			PSH		
	demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	59	\$2,511	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	10
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$117,857					
the most recent grant closeout	-8	Total Spent	\$117,857	0.00%	0-2%	3-5%	>= 6%	10
		Unspent Amount	\$-					
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)	reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

Agency Response Section

In the boxes below, please respond to the following questions (if applicable). These responses are not scored but will be reviewed by the Performance Review Committee.

- 1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.
- Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate Due to additional COVID funding, we did not serve as many participants in this project. Now that COVIDrelated funding has been depleted, we do not anticipate that low occupancy rates will be an issue in the future.

2) If your grant recaptured more than 10%, please explain how you intend to spend project funds in the coming year OR if you plan to voluntarily reallocate the excess budget.

Recipient	YMCA of Cumberland	Project Component Type	PSH
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	Y Permanent Housing	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score			
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved	Total Points Available	Category Weight	Percentage Achieved
Total Project Score = Project Performance Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Project Performance	35	85	70%	29%
	HMIS Data Quality	40	40	20%	20%
	Grant Management & Financials	15	20	10%	8%
	Total Score		56	%	

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa	tion	Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score
	•	Project Perfor s a benchmark for ho ssary to understand a performan	w well p a projec	projects hel t's rate of s	•			-
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	24 22 25 26 24.25 31	78.23%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	5
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	9 29	31.03%	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	0
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	63 7 16 1 8	87.30%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	0
Average number of days to move in at project entry	The Housing Move in Date is collected to measure how long it takes for a client to physically move into housing from the time of project enrollment and gives a clear picture of whether the client is homeless or housed. APR Q22c			NA	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	Improving household access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured in the NOFA & System Performance Measures APR Q19a1			18.18%	PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	10
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		11.11%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	10

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to		PSH: >=61%	PSH: 55-60%	PSH: <=54%	
assessment who gained, maintained	homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance	40.91%	>=01% RRH: >= 5%	83-60% RRH: 2-4%	<=34% RRH: <= 1%	0
or increased non- employment cash income	Measures. APR Q19a1		TH: >=53%	TH: 47-52%	TH: <=46%	
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured	0.00%	PSH: >=58% RRH:	PSH: 52-57% RRH:	PSH: <=51% RRH:	0
or increased non- employment cash income	through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a2	0.0070	>= 51% TH: >=56%	45-50% TH: 50-55%	<= 44% TH: <=49%	J
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project o	operations	
Cost Efficiency	It is important to HUD that programs	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$514,241			PSH		
demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	54	\$9,523	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	5	
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$408,969					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds allocated in a	Total Spent	\$408,969	0.00%	0-2%	3-5%	>= 6%	10
	 allocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data 	Unspent Amount	\$-					
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)		Last Year Recapture Reference Only	e Rate – For	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

2) If your grant recaptured more than 10%, please explain how you intend to spend project funds in the coming year OR if you plan to voluntarily reallocate the excess budget.

Recipient	YMCA of Cumberland	Project Component Type	тн
Subrecipient	N/A	Data Reporting Period	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Project Name	Y Transitional Housing	Scorecard Status	Under Review

- Renewal project scorecards are derived from data pulled from HMIS, SAGE and eSNAPs project applications. The HMIS data reviewed for this document includes Annual Performance Reports for the timeframe of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022
- Renewing projects were notified on July 26, 2022 of the renewal scorecard process and were provided the opportunity to work with TeamHMIS to correct any inaccurate or missing data in HMIS
- Projects renewing for the first time will not receive a Renewal Scorecard since it has not yet had one full year of performance data
- Performance measures that do not apply to a given project are marked "NA" in the score column
- For more information about the competition process, rating and ranking policy and other materials, please visit <u>https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition</u>

- Review each of the sections below for accuracy
- Complete the Agency Response Section to address low scoring measures and grant recapture rates over 10%
- Return your finalized scorecard to boscoc.dhcd@maryland.gov no later than Wednesday, August 31, 2022
- Finalized scorecards will be provided to the Performance Review Committee for project rating and ranking
- NEW in FY2022: Renewing projects are required to respond to the BoS RFP, due Wednesday, August 31, 2022; posted at https://www.mdboscoc.org/2022coccompetition/

	Tota	l Project Score						
	Scoring Category	Total Points Achieved			Percentage Achieved			
Total Project Score = Project Performance	Project Performance	35	70	70%	35%			
Percentage Achieved + HMIS Data Quality	HMIS Data Quality	40	40	20%	20%			
Percentage Achieved + Grant Management & Financials Percentage Achieved	Grant Management & Financials	20	20	10%	10%			
	Total Score	65%						

Performance Criteria	Purpose & Source of Measurement	Formula Informa		Result	Points 10	Points 5	Points 0	Score
	•	Project Perfor s a benchmark for ho ssary to understand a performan	w well _l a projec	projects hel t's rate of s	-			-
Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate	Household utilization rates demonstrate that the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. APR Q8b & Application	January Total April Total July Total October Total Adjusted Total Proposed number of households served	5 10 7 8 7.5 11	68.18%	>=90%	70% - 89%	<= 69%	0
Percentage of chronically homeless households served	Indicates community success in ending chronic homelessness by measuring the number of chronically homeless participants served in the project during the measured year. APR Q26a	Total Chronically Homeless Households served Total Households served	NA	NA	PSH: >=46%	PSH: 40% - 45%	PSH: <= 39%	NA
Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success. APR Q23c	Total Number of Persons Served Total Exiting to Positive Destinations Total Exits Total Deceased Unsuccessful Exits	47 16 24 2 6	72.73%	PSH: >= 99% RRH: >= 91% TH: >= 81%	PSH: 93% - 98% RRH: 85% - 95% TH: 75% - 80%	PSH: <= 92% RRH: <= 84% TH: <= 74%	0
Average number of days to move in at project entry	The Housing Move how long it takes fo into housing from t and gives a clear pic homeless or housed	NA	PSH & RRH: 24 Days or Less	PSH & RRH: 25-35 Days	PSH & RRH: 36 Days or More	NA		
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased employment income	is crucial to reducin homelessness. Incr	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu Performance Measures	ured in	0.00%	PSH: >=16% RRH & TH: >= 5%	PSH: 10-15% RRH & TH: 2-4%	PSH: <=9% RRH & TH: <=1%	0
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained	is crucial to reducin	ld access to financial res g vulnerability to ease in income is measu		18.18%	PSH: >=11% RRH:	PSH: 5-10% RRH:	PSH: <=4% RRH:	10

or increased	the NOFA & System Performance Measures		>= 31%	25-30%	<=24%	
employment	APR Q19a2		TH:	TH:	TH:	
income			>=16%	10-15%	<=9%	
Percentage of adult stayers at annual assessment who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a1	50.00%	PSH: >=61% RRH: >= 5% TH: >=53%	PSH: 55-60% RRH: 2-4% TH: 47-52%	PSH: <=54% RRH: <= 1% TH: <=46%	5
Percentage of adult leavers at exit who gained, maintained or increased non- employment cash income	Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. Increase in income is measured through the NOFA & System Performance Measures. APR Q19a2	72.73%	PSH: >=58% RRH: >= 51% TH: >=56%	PSH: 52-57% RRH: 45-50% TH: 50-55%	PSH: <=51% RRH: <= 44% TH: <=49%	10
Returns to Homelessness	Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success and is HUD System Performance Measure 2a.	0%	<= 5%	6% - 15%	>= 16%	10

Personal Identifying Information Error Rate		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Universal Data Elements Error Rate	To achieve accurate data collection and to satisfy HUD HMIS data requirements, projects must	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Income and Housing Data Quality	ensure that data entered into the local HMIS system is complete. APR Q 6a, b, c, d	0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Chronic Homelessness Data Elements		0%	0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>= 6%	10
Domestic Violence Provider: Comparable Database	Victim Services Providers receiving CoC & ESG funds are required to collect client-level data but are prohibited from entering data into HMIS. VSPs must enter data into a comparable database that complies with all HMIS requirements.	NA	Yes / Plan on Track	N/A	No	NA

Projects mus	t demonstrate under	Grant Manageme standing of complian			regulations	of project (operations.	
Cost Efficiency	It is important to HUD that programs	FY20 Total CoC Budget Plus 25% Required Match	\$87,938			PSH		
demonstrate cost efficiency - the annual cost to retain or move someone into permanent housing. Sage APR Data	Number of participants who exited to or remained in permanent supportive housing during the reporting period	16	\$5,496	PSH <\$8,999 RRH <\$2,999 TH <\$9,999	\$9,000 - 11,000 RRH \$3,000 - 5,000 TH \$10,000 - 12,000	PSH >\$11,001 RRH >\$5,001 TH >\$12,001	10	
Percentage of total grant funds that	It is important to HUD that	Total Grant Award	\$70,350					
were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	programs show agency capacity to spend funds allocated in a	Total Spent	\$70,350	0.00%	0-2%	3-5%	>= 6%	10
	allocated in a timely manner and in full and allows for potential fund reallocation of unspent funds during the HUD CoC Competition. Sage APR Data	Unspent Amount	\$-					
Prior Year Recapture Rate (Not Scored)		Last Year Recapture Reference Only	Rate – For	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Scored

1) For scored elements that received 0 or 5 points, please explain how your agency plans to improve the performance over time.

2) If your grant recaptured more than 10%, please explain how you intend to spend project funds in the coming year OR if you plan to voluntarily reallocate the excess budget.